

Statute of the scientific journal *Človek a spoločnosť* [Individual and Society]

Article 1

General Provisions

1. The journal *Človek a spoločnosť* [Individual and Society] (hereinafter referred to as "the journal") is published by the Institute of Social Sciences of the Centre of Social and Psychological Sciences of the Slovak Academy of Sciences (hereinafter referred to as the "Institute"). The Editorial Office of the journal is in the premises of the Institute at Karpatská 5 in Košice. The journal has the character of a scientific peer-reviewed journal.
2. The journal is indexed in the following research databases: ERIH PLUS, EBSCO, Index Copernicus, DOAJ, CEEOL and CEJSH.
3. The journal *Človek a spoločnosť* [Individual and Society] is published four times a year in electronic form. An electronic version of the periodical is available at www.clovekaspolocnost.sk.

Article 2

Profile and Focus of the Journal

1. The mission of the journal is to present the results of research in the field of social sciences (psychological sciences, pedagogical sciences, social sciences) and humanities (historical sciences).
2. The journal publishes scientific texts, original theoretical and research studies, which broaden the knowledge base of these scientific fields.
3. In addition to scientific studies, the journal provides material studies, review studies, discussion papers on current topics, analytical reviews and annotations of publications by domestic and international authors, and current information from scientific events. Not all sections may be represented in a single issue as the Editorial Office must also consider the scope of the issue.
4. The journal publishes articles in English. In exceptional cases (special issues / Supplements), papers may be published in Slovak language. Also, some reviews and reports from scientific events can be

- published in Slovak language after approval by the Editorial Board of the journal.
5. Each study and discussion paper must contain abstract and keywords in English, as well as the affiliation of the author (including the name of the workplace, address, e-mail). All contributions, except for annotations, reviews and reports from scientific events, are considered through a mutually anonymous review procedure.
 6. Communication with the Editorial Office is exclusively electronic (by e-mail, including communication with authors and reviewers), selected documents are kept in the archive by the Executive Editor in printed form.
 7. The journal also publishes mono-thematic issues and special issues, the so-called „Supplements“. The call and information on the thematic focus of the special issue are published on the journal's website.

Article 3

Acceptance of Submitted Manuscripts

1. The author shall submit a manuscript formally edited in accordance with the guidelines published in **Annex 1**. of this Statute. The journal only accepts original, previously unpublished scientific manuscripts. Only completed manuscripts will be reviewed by the Editorial Office.
2. By sending the paper to the Editorial Office, the author is not entitled to have it published. Publishing in *Človek a spoločnosť [Individual and Society]* is not remunerated.
3. The recipient of all contributions is the Editor-In-Chief or Executive Editor. The Editor-In-Chief or Executive Director shall confirm receipt of the paper electronically within three days of receipt of the paper.
4. Immediately upon receipt of the paper, the Executive Editor shall check its compliance with the instructions for authors. If not the case, an electronic message both confirming the delivery of the contribution and asking the author to add the necessary information will be sent.
5. The paper offered for publication in the journal shall include a written confirmation of the originality and authorship of the paper as well as a statement that it was not in parallel sent to another journal. The declaration form is available on the website of the journal and is attached as **Annex 2**.

6. The Editorial Office uses its own discretion in choosing which articles to include in individual issues and reserves the right to reject papers that do not correspond to the content of the journal, do not reach its professional level, do not respect the author's guidelines or do not pass the review process.
7. The Editorial Board decides on the inclusion of other contributions (reviews, annotations, reports from scientific events) in individual issues of the journal on the proposal of the Editor-In-Chief.
8. Contributions to the journal are accepted on an ongoing basis. The deadlines for the submission of contributions have been set as follows: by 1 December, contributions will be accepted and will be published in issue 1 of the following calendar year. By 1 March, contributions will be accepted and will be published in issue 2 of the current calendar year. By 1 June, contributions will be accepted and will be published in issue 3 of the current calendar year. By 1 September, contributions will be accepted and published in issue 4 of the current calendar year.

Article 4

Manuscript Assessment and Review Process

1. The initial assessment of the manuscripts sent shall be carried out by the Editor-In-Chief or his/her Associates within one month of receipt of the assessment by the Editorial Office.
2. The Editor-In-Chief may decide to reject the manuscript if it does not fall within the profile of the journal, it is of poor quality, has considerable formal and linguistic deficiencies, or does not meet the scope requirements. After a positive primary assessment, the Editor-In-Chief, in collaboration with the members of the Editorial Board, selects two suitable reviewers based on their scientific specialization in the field to which the manuscript relates. The peer review process is double-blind, the authors of the manuscript and the reviewers of the articles remain anonymous to each other.
3. The reviewer is asked to draw up a report within one month of receipt of the manuscript by the Editorial Office, according to a standardized form. The reviewer will be requested by the Editorial Office to explain acceptance or refusal within one week of receiving the paper. The

manuscript, cover letter and form are sent to reviewers electronically. The review form is attached as **Annex 3** of the Statute.

4. Each reviewer is obliged to clearly state their recommendation to publish or not to publish the reviewed text. In the table section of the report it is necessary to clearly mark the assessment with a cross. In the comment section the reviewer should state the assessment of the paper with emphasis on its strengths and weaknesses. It is necessary to make comments on the assessment which was done in the table section of the report. Based on the review reports, the Editorial Board proceeds as follows:
 - if both reviewers recommend publishing the text, the study will be accepted for publication,
 - if both reviewers recommend not to publish the text, the study will be rejected and not published,
 - if reviewers recommend publishing the text after necessary adjustments, the author will be asked to re-elaborate it, and the adjusted manuscript will be reviewed again by the same reviewer,
 - if the reviews differ from each other, i.e. one of the reviewers recommends publishing the text and the other does not, the Editorial Office will provide a third review, which is usually processed by the member of the Editorial Board upon request of the Editorial Board. This review will be considered as definitive. If one reviewer does not recommend publishing the paper and the other evaluates it as weak and suggests that it be revised, the Editorial Board decides either to reject the paper or to address the third reviewer.
5. A PhD supervisor cannot be a reviewer of the paper submitted by their doctoral student.
6. The Editorial Office considers the review process to be a key prerequisite for the publication of a scientific study and a scientific article in the journal. The final decision on the publication of scientific studies and articles is the responsibility of the Editorial Board and the Editor-In-Chief of the journal.
7. Reviews, annotations, reports from scientific events go through the editorial reading of one of the authorized members of the Editorial Board.
8. Reviewing of the manuscripts is not remunerated.

9. The author will be notified of the inclusion of the manuscript in the opponent's continuation and the outcome of the review process together with the review reports will be sent to them within 3 months from the date of receipt of the paper. Occasionally, the review process may take longer.
10. The author is obliged, together with the amended manuscript, to send a statement on both reviews, stating how they incorporated the reviewer's comments, or justified the failure to include the reviewer's proposals in the text.
11. The Editorial Office reserves the right to make minor stylistic modifications of the text without continuous consultation with the author, provided that the author is acquainted with them during the proofreading.
12. The handling of contributions is governed by Ethical Standards governing the relationship between all parties involved in the publication process: authors, journal (publisher and editorial bodies), and reviewers.

Article 5

Editorial Office

1. The Editorial Office shall consist of the Editor-In-Chief and Associates, the Executive Editor and two editors. The editors are appointed and dismissed by the Editor-In-Chief. The Editorial Office participates in the creation of the journal and proposes the graphic design of the issue.
2. **The Editor-In-Chief** is appointed and dismissed by the Director of the CSPA SAS. The Editor-In-Chief of the journal convenes meetings of the Editorial Board, represents the journal outside, and when voting (in case of a tie) has a decisive vote in the case of equality. The Editor-In-Chief manages the Editorial Office and is responsible for the implementation of the specified content and concept of the journal. The Editor-in-chief assigns individual studies and articles to editors for editorial preparation. Once a year it submits to the Editorial Board of the Slovak Academy of Sciences an Application for the Support of the Periodical Publication (including the characteristics of the journal's development over the previous five years and objectives for the further development of the journal for the next five years).

3. **Associate Editors**, together with the Editor-In-Chief, decide on the final draft of the contents of the issue of the journal, which is later submitted to the Editorial Board for approval. The content of the issue of the journal consists of scientific studies and other contributions. They assist the Editor-In-Chief in the preparation of the Application for the Support of the Periodical Publication.
4. **The Executive Editor** shall be responsible for the editorial adjustment of scientific studies and other contributions and for providing photo material to them. The Executive Director cooperates with the authors on matters of authorization, and bears the responsibility for processing the contributions in their definitive form. The Executive Editor carries out finishing and proofreading, i.e. editing of material and subsequent correction of spelling mistakes and stylistic editing of the text. Once approved by the Editor-In-Chief, the Executive Editor enters the content of each issue on the web.
5. **The Editors** together with the Executive Editor ensure the preparation of the journal. They are responsible for adhering to the agreed indicators (scope, periodicity, etc.) and agreed deadlines. They are responsible for working with the databases in which the journal is indexed. In their work they follow the instructions of the Editor-In-Chief and Associate Editors.

Article 6

Editorial Board

1. The Editorial Board is a professional guarantor of the journal. It consists of the Chairman and the members of the Editorial Board. The Editorial Board has at least 15 members, with a two-thirds majority being external experts whose employer is not the Centre of Social and Psychological Sciences of the SAS. Their expertise reflects different areas of social sciences.
2. The members of the Editorial Board are appointed by the director of the Centre of Social and Psychological Sciences of SAS (hereinafter referred to as CSPA SAS) on the proposal of the Editor-In-Chief and Chairman of the Editorial Board of the journal. The term of office of a member of the Editorial Board is five years. A member of the Editorial Board may also be re-appointed. On the proposal of the Editor-In-Chief or the Chairman of the Editorial Board, the director of the CSPA SAS

dismisses a member of the Editorial Board if his/her actions conflict with the journal's tasks and focus, and if the member of the Editorial Board does not contribute to the journal's development. The director may dismiss a member of the Editorial Board on the proposal of the Editor-In-Chief or the Chairman of the Editorial Board without giving any reason. The director of the CSPS SAS can also dismiss a member of the Editorial Board even if the member of the Editorial Board asks for it the director himself. Meetings of the Editorial Board shall be convened by the Editor-In-Chief, the Associate Editor or the Chairman of the Editorial Board as necessary. They shall be at least four times a year, at least once before the publication of each issue. The Editor-In-Chief and Executive Editor also participate in the Editorial Board. The director of CSPS SAS can also participate in the Editorial Board as required.

3. Meetings of the Editorial board shall be convened by the Editor-in-chief, his deputy or the Chairman of the Editorial board as necessary, but at least four times a year, at least once before the publication of each issue. The Editor-in-chief and executive editor also participate in the Editorial board. The director of CSPS SAS can also participate in the editorial board as required.
4. The members of the Editorial Board shall elect a Chairman of the Editorial Board from among themselves for a term of two years. The Chairman of the Editorial Board may also be re-elected.
5. The members of the Editorial Board shall assist the Editorial Office in obtaining contributions to the journal. Their suggestions contribute to improving the professional level of the journal. They make suggestions for making new contributions and for measures aimed at improving the overall quality of the journal.
6. Members of the Editorial Board from Institute of Social Sciences CSPA SAS decides on the selection of opponents of individual scientific studies and other contributions. The Editor-In-Chief or Executive Editor, based on the decision of these members of Editorial Board, authorizes the opponent to prepare a written review report for the submitted scientific study or other contribution and determines the date of its submission.
7. The Editorial Board decides on the final content of the issue of the journal. Before making this decision, the members of the Editorial Board must be made aware of the content of the relevant peer

reviews. In order to be published, the issue must be approved by an absolute majority of votes of the members of the Editorial Board. Other proposals shall be voted in the same way. External members of the Editorial Board and members of the Editorial Board from outside Slovakia vote on proposals in electronic form (per rollam).

8. At the beginning of the year, the Editorial Board reviews the past year, makes proposals for new contributions and takes reorganizational measures to improve the quality of the journal.
9. Membership in the Editorial Board is honorary and is irreplaceable.

Article 7

Funding of the Journal

1. The journal is financed by the Editorial Board of the Slovak Academy of Sciences based on annual evaluation.

Article 8

Final Provision

1. This Statute shall enter into force on the day of its signature by the Director of the CSPA SAS, the Editor-In-Chief of the journal and the Chairman of the Editorial Board of the journal. Changes may be made only with the consent and decision of an absolute majority of all members of the Editorial Board.

Košice, 1st March 2020

Mgr. D. Fedáková, PhD.
Director CSPA SAS

Mgr. M. Ďurková, PhD.
Editor-In-Chief

prof. PhDr. J. Výrost, DrSc.
Chairman of the Editorial Board

Annex 1

GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

Človek a spoločnosť [*Individual and Society*] is an online peer-reviewed quarterly journal. It publishes original theoretical and research articles from the field of social sciences, materials and review papers, discussions, book reviews and book reports, and reports from scientific events.

- **How to submit the paper**

The journal publishes articles written in English. In exceptional cases (special issue / Supplement), papers may be published in Slovak language. Manuscripts are sent to the e-mail address durkovska@saske.sk or cas@saske.sk.

- **Peer review policy and the decision process**

Each article is reviewed by two independent reviewers. The review process follows a double blind model. Depending on the comments made by a reviewer, a paper can be sent back for further completion or correction. In sending an article to the editorial board there is no legal right for its author to expect publication of their manuscript.

The final decision in the case of acceptance or rejection of the paper is completely within the competency of the editorial board. Notification of acceptance of any contribution should be within 90 days of its receipt. (In special cases, this time may be extended).

Authors are responsible for any stylistic or spelling features and any serious linguistic deficiencies may be a reason for the rejection of a contribution.

The editor reserves the right to formally edit received submissions, and, in some cases, has the right to publish an accepted submission in any future issues of the journal. The Editorial board further reserves the right to exclude contributions which do not correspond with the aim of the journal, popular papers and papers that will not respect given instructions for authors.

- **Style and structure**

- Title in English language (and in Slovak if the paper is written in Slovak).
- Information about author/authors (name, surname, full title and address of workplace/employer, email, ORCID ID if available).
- 4 – 7 Key words in English (and in Slovak if the paper is written in Slovak).
- Abstract in English (and in Slovak if the paper is written in Slovak) (**800-1000 words, not characters**). **The Abstract has to say more about the aim of study, selected methods, main findings and summary and implications of the study.**
- Text of the paper.
- References (which must include all cited literature in the text; if the cited source has doi number assigned, it must be given in the form: <https://doi.org/xx.xxx/yyyy> with functional hyperlinks).
- If the research was supported by a grant project, identifying information should be shown at the end of the manuscript.

- **Length of contribution**
 - **Research study** (recommended range up to **54,000 characters** including spaces, and references). It contains the original or synthesizing the results of research of the author.
 - **Materials** (recommended range up to **54,000 characters** including spaces, and references). It was created on the basis of own research of selected sources. It presents current research results on the basis of relevant sources, whose range is typologically and content-diverse. These can be inventories and catalogues of archives, historical libraries, old prints, manuscripts, but also archive sources - correspondence, personalities, etc.)
 - **Review paper** (recommended range up to **54,000 characters** including spaces, and references). It is a theoretical study and its contribution lies in the analysis and synthesis of existing knowledge in the selected area. It may be an overview of theories, an overview of methodological procedures, and an overview of research results and practical applications of these results. In addition to integrating knowledge, the aim of such a study should also be to resolve discrepancies in opinions or outcomes, and thus to critically analyse the state of knowledge and the future direction of research.
 - **Discussion** (recommended range up to **18,000 characters**). Reflects the current concerns of research and solutions of methodological issues.
 - **Book Review** (recommended range up to **9,000 characters**). A critical assessment of scientific publications made at most three years from the publishing of reviewed publication. The reviewer does not use or accept author's ideas, but evaluates and draws attention to the publication.
 - **Book Report** (recommended range up to **9,000 characters**). It contains information about the author of reviewed publication, title, place of publication, publisher and year of publication, information about thematic focus of publication, and evaluation of its benefits.
 - **Report from scientific event** (recommended range up to **5,400 characters**). It contains information about the event – date, title, organizer, opinion of the quality and its importance.

- **Text edition**

Type font: Times New Roman, **size:** 12 (notes under line size 10), **spacing:** single line spacing (1.0). It is not necessary to insert headers, footers and numbers of pages. Notes should be written under the line not at the end of the article. Use the command Insert and subsequently Footnotes. **Abbreviations:** first time using it explain in full text, do not use them in titles, titles of tables and graphs. **Do not use formatting,** underlining the text and capital letters. **Do not divide syllables** at the end of lines. **Indication of tables:** Table 1: Title of table (above table). **Indication of graphic object:** Picture1: Title of the picture. It is necessary to put **whatever picture inserted in the text** in separate jpg. file and attach together with submitted article.

- **Bibliography**

There are two options in writing the bibliography:
 According to **APA (American Psychological Association) standards**
 According to **STN ISO 690 standards**

APA STANDARDS

Print sources

1. Citation of monograph (including text-book)

- Hloušková, L. (2008). *Proměna kultury školy v pedagogických diskurzích*. Brno: Masarykova univerzita.
- Zounek, J., & Šedřová, K. (2009). *Učitelé a technologie. Mezi tradičním a moderním pojetím*. Brno: Paido.
- Pol, M., Rabušicová, M., & Novotný, P. (2006). *Demokracie ve škole*. Brno: Masarykova univerzita.
- Malewski, E. (Ed.). (2012, in press). *Curriculum studies handbook: The next moment*. New York: Routledge.
- Maňák, J., & Janík, T. (Eds.). (2005). *Orientace české základní školy*. Brno: Masarykova univerzita.

2. Citation of study in monograph (including text-book):

- Miková, M., & Janík, T. (2007). Pořizování videozáznamu jako metoda sběru dat. In R. Švaříček, & K. Šedřová, et al., *Kvalitativní výzkum v pedagogických vědách* (pp. 192–201). Praha: Portál.
- Kolář, J., Nehyba, J., & Lazarová, B. (2011). Osobnostně sociální rozvoj – o významu pojmu optikou pedagogického diskursu. In T. Janík, P. Knecht, & S. Šebestová, *Konference České asociace pedagogického výzkumu* (pp. 349–355). Brno: Masarykova univerzita.

3. Citation of study in periodical published

- Šedřová, K. (2012). Žáci se smějí učitelům: Podoby a funkce školního humoru zaměřeného na učitele. *Pedagogická orientace*, 22(1), 41–65.
- Pol, M., Hloušková, L., Novotný, P., & Sedláček, M. (2012). Profesní dráha ředitelů základních škol: od fáze profesní jistoty k novým výzvám. *Studia paedagogica*, 15(1), 65–84.
- Veenman, M., Wilhelm, P., & Beishuisen, J. (2004). The relation between intellectual and metacognitive skills from a developmental perspective. *Learning and Instruction*, 14(1), 89–104.

4. Citation of monothematic periodical

- Chvál, M., Michek, S., & Pol, M. (Eds.). (2010). *Evaluace ve škole [Special issue]*. *Orbis scholae*, 4(1).

5. Citation of Theses and Dissertations

- Trnková, K. (2007). *Málotřídní školy a faktory ovlivňující jejich fungování* (Disertační práce). Available from https://is.muni.cz/auth/th/8942/ff_d/cele-po_korekci.pdf
- Valenta, M. (1996). *Tvorba učebnic dějepisu pro mentálně retardované žáky* (Disertační práce). Olomouc: PdF UP.

Electronic sources

1. Electronic monograph

- Larsson, E. (Ed.). (2010). *Playing reality*. Available from [http://interactingarts.org/pdf/Playing%20Reality%20\(2010\).pdf](http://interactingarts.org/pdf/Playing%20Reality%20(2010).pdf)
- Jandová, R. (Ed.). (2007). *Svět výchovy a vzdělávání v reflexi současného pedagogického výzkumu* [CD-ROM]. České Budějovice: JČU.

2. Study in the electronic monograph (text-book)

- Lappi, A. P. (2010). Contra-moral of Play. In E. Larsson (Ed.), *Playing reality* (pp. 193–203). Stockholm: Interacting Arts. Available from [http://interactingarts.org/pdf/Playing%20Reality%20\(2010\).pdf](http://interactingarts.org/pdf/Playing%20Reality%20(2010).pdf)

3. Study in e-journal

- Dočekal, V. (2012). Prožitkové, zážitkové, nebo zkušenostní učení? *E-pedagogium*, 12(1), 9–17. Available from http://www.pdf.upol.cz/fileadmin/user_upload/PdF/e-pedagogium/2012/E-pedagogium_1_-_2012.pdf

The list of references is subject to the requirements of the APA standard.

<http://www.apastyle.org/>

http://www.uet.sav.sk/files/sn_pravidla-citovania.pdf

<http://www.phil.muni.cz/wups/home/studium/informace/diplomovaprace/citace.doc/view>

Avoid the most common mistakes with APA format:

- Use the word "and" between the authors' names within the text and use the ampersand in parentheses. Example: Research by Wegener and Petty (1994) supports... but ... (Wegener & Petty, 1994).
- Two authors in the References are often separated without, &. Separate author names with a comma. Use the ampersand instead of "and."
- Three to Twenty Authors are often listed as one author's name following et al. Instead list all authors (not just the first six authors as in APA 6), separate authors' names with commas, while the last author name is preceded again by ampersand.
- In case of more than Twenty Authors. Names are separated with commas. After the first 19 authors' names, use an ellipsis in place of the remaining author names. Then, end with the final author's name (do not place an ampersand before it). There should be no more than twenty names in the citation in total.
Pegion, K., Kirtman, B. P., Becker, E., Collins, D. C., LaJoie, E., Burgman, R., Bell, R., DelSole, R., Min, D., Zhu, Y., Li, W., Sinsky, E., Guan, H., Gottschalck, J., Metzger, E. J., Barton, N. P., Achuthavarier, D., Marshak, J., Koster, R., . . . Kim, H. (2019). The subseasonal experiment (SubX): A multimodel

- subseasonal prediction experiment. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 100(10), 2043-2061. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0270.1>
- The dot is missing after the year of publication. There should be (2020).
 - Title and number of the journal and also title of the monograph is not typed in *cursive*. Example: *American Psychological Society, 100(10)*,
 - There should not be the space between number and issue of the journal, correct form is without the space Example: 100(10)
 - The page range is often listed with a hyphen (-). Use the en dash (–) instead.
 - If the cited source has assigned doi number, it must be given in the form: <https://doi.org/xx.xxx/yyyy> with functional hyperlinks.
 - Inconsistency in reporting doi number as DOI: XX.XXX/YYYY and <https://doi.org/xx.xxx/yyyy>. We want to ask the authors for unification into the desired form.

STN ISO 690 STANDARDS

1. Citation of monograph (1 – 3 authors)

ČELOVSKÝ, Bořivoj. *Mnichovská dohoda 1938*. Ostrava : Tilia, 1999, s. 265.

GEBHART, Jan – KULÍK, Jan. *Druhá republika 1938 – 1939*. Praha : Paseka, 2004, s. 77.

2. Citation of monograph (3 and more authors)

VÝROST, Jozef et al. *Aplikovaná sociální psychologie I*. Praha : Portál, 1998, s. 56-58.

3. Citation of text-book, year-book, anniversary volume as unit

BYSTRICKÝ, Valerián (Ed.). *Slovensko v politickom systéme Československa : Materiály z vedeckého sympózia Časť 11. – 13. novembra 1991*. Bratislava : Historický ústav SAV, 1992.

Slovenská veda : zborník zo 14. medzinárodnej konferencie. Ed. Elena Nedorostová. Bratislava : Veda, 2001.

4. Citation of study in text-book, year-book, anniversary volume

ZELENÁK, Peter. Maďarská menšina v geopolitických reláciách Slovenska. In PEKNÍK, Miroslav (Ed.). *Pohl'ady na slovenskú politiku: Geopolitika – Slovenské národné rady – Českoslovákizmus*. Bratislava : Veda, 2000, s. 199-227.

5. Citation of study in periodical published

BYSTRICKÝ, Valerián. Nacistické Nemecko a vznik Slovenského štátu. In *Vojenská história*, 2001, roč. 5, č. 2, s. 41-61.

6. Citation of periodical

Karpathen Post, 30. august, 1919, č. 35, Was wir fordern.

7. Citation of scheduled source-book

ČELOVSKÝ, B. *Mnichovská dohoda...*, s. 26.

8. Citation of archive source

Štátny archív (ďalej ŠA) Levoča, fond (ďalej f.) Spišská župa 1860 – 1922 (ďalej SŽ), škatuľa (ďalej š.) 2814, číslo (ďalej č.) 2071/1920, Správa župana o politických a národnostných pomeroch v Spišskej župe

Slovenský národný archív (Slovak national Archive, hereinafter referred only as SNA) Bratislava, fund (f.) Policajné riaditeľstvo (Police Directory, hereinafter referred only to as PR), carton (c.) 750, Pravidelní situační správa, 31.12.1925.

Annex 2

STATEMENT ABOUT THE ORIGINALITY

Title of the study:

Author / authors:

Department of the author / authors:

I declare that:

1. My / our study is original scientific work, based on his own theoretical or empirical research;
2. My / our study has not been published or sent elsewhere for publication;
3. I have full copyright for my study, including the authority to dispose it;
4. I agree with publishing the study in the electronic journal *Človek a spoločnosť* [Individual and Society]

Date:

.....

Signature

